Sensitivity analysis for set-valued models. Application to pollutant concentration maps

Thesis: Sensitivity analysis for constrained robust optimization

Noé Fellmann Céline Helbert & Christophette Blanchet (ECL) Adrien Spagnol & Delphine Sinoquet (IFPEN)

École Centrale de Lyon & IFP Énergie nouvelles

Rencontres MEXICO, December 2023

[Pointwise SA on maps](#page-5-0) [SA with random set theory](#page-12-0) [Universal indices for sets](#page-27-0) [HSIC for sets](#page-36-0) [Comparison and conclusion](#page-42-0)

Model of pollutant concentration maps [M. Pasquier]

Input $\boldsymbol{U} = (\theta, U_{\infty}, q, \beta, \nu_{max})$ with,

- Wind direction $\theta \sim \mathcal{N}_{[5,10]}(0.7,0.5^2)$ [rad]
- Wind speed $U_{\infty}\sim \mathcal{N}_{[-0.35;1.75]}(8,2)$ [m/s]
- Traffic volume $q \sim \mathcal{SN}_{[100;500]}{(450,100,-3)}$ [vehicle/h]
- \bullet Proportion of diesel and petrol engine $β ∼ U([0,1])$ [-]
- Speed limit $\nu_{\text{max}} \sim \mathcal{U}([30; 50])$ [km/h]

Output : $(x, y) \mapsto \Phi_{U}(x, y)$ a pollutant concentration map

Sensitivity Analysis of map-valued models

Map-valued model :

 $\Phi: U \mapsto \Phi_{U}$

where

 $\Phi_{\mathbf{U}} : (x, y) \mapsto \Phi_{\mathbf{U}}(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}$

Goal : Quantify the effect of the inputs U on the spatial output Φ_{U}

Sensitivity Analysis of map-valued models

Map-valued model :

 $\Phi: U \mapsto \Phi U$

where

$$
\Phi_{\boldsymbol{U}} : (x, y) \mapsto \Phi_{\boldsymbol{U}}(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Goal : Quantify the effect of the inputs U on the spatial output Φ_{U}

Sensitivity analysis context

$$
(U_1,...,U_d)\stackrel{f}{\mapsto} Y=f(U_1,...,U_d)
$$

How can the uncertainty of Y be divided and allocated to the uncertainty of the inputs X_i ?

- Sobol indices : $S_i = \frac{\text{Var } \mathbb{E}(Y|U_i)}{\text{Var } Y}$
- Dependence measures : $S_i = ||\mathbb{P}_{(U_i, Y)} \mathbb{P}_{U_i} \otimes \mathbb{P}_Y||$

Screening : $U_1, ..., U_k$ are influential and $U_{k+1}, ... U_d$ are not influential Ranking : $U_1 \prec ... \prec U_d$

¹ [Pointwise Sensitivity Analysis of pollutant concentration maps](#page-5-0)

2 Sensitivity analysis for sets [based on random set theory](#page-12-0)

³ [Sensitivity analysis for sets](#page-27-0) using universal indices

⁴ [Sensitivity Analysis for sets](#page-36-0) with kernel-based indices

⁵ [Comparison between the indices and conclusion](#page-42-0)

1 [Pointwise Sensitivity Analysis of pollutant concentration maps](#page-5-0)

2 Sensitivity analysis for sets [based on random set theory](#page-12-0)

³ [Sensitivity analysis for sets](#page-27-0) using universal indices

⁴ [Sensitivity Analysis for sets](#page-36-0) with kernel-based indices

⁵ [Comparison between the indices and conclusion](#page-42-0)

[Pointwise SA on maps](#page-5-0) [SA with random set theory](#page-12-0) [Universal indices for sets](#page-27-0) [HSIC for sets](#page-36-0) [Comparison and conclusion](#page-42-0)

Sobol indices maps [M. Pasquier]

Sobol indices at the position (x_1, x_2) :

$$
S_i(x_1,x_2)=\frac{\text{Var}[\mathbb{E}[\Phi_{\boldsymbol{U}}(x_1,x_2)|U_i]]}{\text{Var}[\Phi_{\boldsymbol{U}}(x_1,x_2)]}.
$$

[Pointwise SA on maps](#page-5-0) [SA with random set theory](#page-12-0) [Universal indices for sets](#page-27-0) [HSIC for sets](#page-36-0) [Comparison and conclusion](#page-42-0)

Generalized Sobol indices [M. Pasquier]

Generalized Sobol' indices (Gamboa, Janon et al. [2013\)](#page-44-1) :

$$
S_i^{\text{gen}} := \sum_{j=1}^m w_j S_i(x_1^{(j)}, x_2^{(j)}) \quad \text{with} \quad w_j = \frac{\text{Var}[\Phi_U(x_1^{(j)}, x_2^{(j)})]}{\sum_{k=1}^m \text{Var}[\Phi_U(x_1^{(k)}, x_2^{(k)})]}.
$$

Figure – Estimated generalised first-order Sobol' indices with 2^{12} model evaluations.

From map-valued model to set-valued model

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}\n\mathcal{U} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}) \\
\Psi : & \mathbf{u} & \mapsto & \Gamma_{\mathbf{u}} = \{ (x_1, x_2, c) \in \mathcal{D} \times [0, C_{\text{max}}], \ c \leq \Phi_{\mathbf{u}}(x_1, x_2) \}\n\end{array}
$$

How to do sensitivity analysis of set-valued models ?

How to do sensitivity analysis of set-valued models ?

• Conduct sensitivity analysis on the volume : $U \rightarrow \lambda(\Gamma_U)$

Figure – Estimation of Sobol indices (rank-method) and HSIC-based indices (rbf_anova kernel) of the volume of Γ_{U} . 1000 model evaluations are used and confidence interval are estimated with 100 bootstrap resamples.

How to do sensitivity analysis of set-valued models ?

• Conduct sensitivity analysis on the volume : $U \rightarrow \lambda(\Gamma_{II})$

Figure – Estimation of Sobol indices (rank-method) and HSIC-based indices (rbf_anova kernel) of the volume of Γ_{U} . 1000 model evaluations are used and confidence interval are estimated with 100 bootstrap resamples.

¹ [Pointwise Sensitivity Analysis of pollutant concentration maps](#page-5-0)

2 Sensitivity analysis for sets [based on random set theory](#page-12-0)

³ [Sensitivity analysis for sets](#page-27-0) using universal indices

⁴ [Sensitivity Analysis for sets](#page-36-0) with kernel-based indices

⁵ [Comparison between the indices and conclusion](#page-42-0)

Vorob'ev expectation

$$
\mathbb{E}^V[\Gamma] = \{x \in \mathcal{X}, \mathbb{P}(x \in \Gamma) \ge \alpha^*\} = Q_{\alpha^*} \text{ with } \mathbb{E}[\lambda(\Gamma)] = \lambda(Q_{\alpha^*}) \tag{1}
$$

Couverture function, mean volume = 0.545

$$
\mathbb{E}^V[\Gamma] = \{x \in \mathcal{X}, \mathbb{P}(x \in \Gamma) \ge \alpha^*\} = Q_{\alpha^*} \text{ with } \mathbb{E}[\lambda(\Gamma)] = \lambda(Q_{\alpha^*}) \tag{1}
$$

$$
\mathbb{E}^V[\Gamma] = \{x \in \mathcal{X}, \mathbb{P}(x \in \Gamma) \ge \alpha^*\} = Q_{\alpha^*} \text{ with } \mathbb{E}[\lambda(\Gamma)] = \lambda(Q_{\alpha^*}) \tag{1}
$$

$$
\mathbb{E}^V[\Gamma] = \{x \in \mathcal{X}, \mathbb{P}(x \in \Gamma) \ge \alpha^*\} = Q_{\alpha^*} \text{ with } \mathbb{E}[\lambda(\Gamma)] = \lambda(Q_{\alpha^*}) \tag{1}
$$

$$
\mathbb{E}^V[\Gamma] = \{x \in \mathcal{X}, \mathbb{P}(x \in \Gamma) \ge \alpha^*\} = Q_{\alpha^*} \text{ with } \mathbb{E}[\lambda(\Gamma)] = \lambda(Q_{\alpha^*}) \tag{1}
$$

$$
\mathbb{E}^V[\Gamma] = \{x \in \mathcal{X}, \mathbb{P}(x \in \Gamma) \ge \alpha^*\} = Q_{\alpha^*} \text{ with } \mathbb{E}[\lambda(\Gamma)] = \lambda(Q_{\alpha^*}) \tag{1}
$$

$$
\mathbb{E}^V[\Gamma] = \{x \in \mathcal{X}, \mathbb{P}(x \in \Gamma) \ge \alpha^*\} = Q_{\alpha^*} \text{ with } \mathbb{E}[\lambda(\Gamma)] = \lambda(Q_{\alpha^*}) \tag{1}
$$

Vorob'ev expectation

Var ${}^V(\Gamma)={\mathbb E}[\lambda(\Gamma\Delta{\mathbb E}^V[\Gamma])],$ with $A\Delta B=A\bigcup B-A\bigcap B$ the symmetric difference

Symmetric difference Volume =46.4

[Rencontres MEXICO](#page-0-1) [Sensitivity analysis for set-valued models](#page-0-1) Noé Fellmann 11 / 29

Var ${}^V(\Gamma)={\mathbb E}[\lambda(\Gamma\Delta{\mathbb E}^V[\Gamma])],$ with $A\Delta B=A\bigcup B-A\bigcap B$ the symmetric difference

Symmetric difference Volume =24.5

Var ${}^V(\Gamma)={\mathbb E}[\lambda(\Gamma\Delta{\mathbb E}^V[\Gamma])],$ with $A\Delta B=A\bigcup B-A\bigcap B$ the symmetric difference

Symmetric difference Volume =6.6

Var ${}^V(\Gamma)={\mathbb E}[\lambda(\Gamma\Delta{\mathbb E}^V[\Gamma])],$ with $A\Delta B=A\bigcup B-A\bigcap B$ the symmetric difference

Symmetric difference Volume =20.6

ź

Definition of indices based on random set theory

Sobol indices :

$$
S_i = 1 - \frac{\mathbb{E} \text{Var}[Y|U_i]}{\text{Var }Y}.
$$

E $\longleftrightarrow Q_{0.5} = \{x \in \mathcal{X}, \mathbb{P}(x \in \Gamma) \geq 0.5\}$ Var ←→ $\mathbb{E}(\lambda(\Gamma \Delta Q_{0.5}))$ $\mathsf{Var}(\Gamma | U_i) \longrightarrow \mathbb{E}(\lambda(\Gamma \Delta Q_{0.5}^i) | U_i)$ $\mathbb{E} \mathsf{Var}(\Gamma | U_i) \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \mathbb{E}(\lambda(\Gamma \Delta Q_{0.5}^i))$

where $Q_{0.5}^i = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}, \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{x} \in \Gamma | U_i) \geq 0.5 \}.$ "Sobol indices" on random sets :

$$
S_i^V = 1 - \frac{\mathbb{E}[\lambda(\Gamma \Delta Q_{0.5}^i)]}{\mathbb{E}[\lambda(\Gamma \Delta Q_{0.5})]}
$$

Proposition

 $0\leq \mathcal{S}_i^{\mathcal{V}}\leq 1$

$$
\tilde{S}_i^V = 1 - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \hat{\lambda}_m(\Gamma^{(j)} \Delta \hat{Q}_{0.5}^i(U_i^{(j)}))}{\sum_{j=1}^n \hat{\lambda}_m(\Gamma^{(j)} \Delta \hat{Q}_{0.5})}.
$$

where

•
$$
\Gamma^{(j)} = \Psi(U^{(j)})
$$

\n• $\Gamma_{i}(U_{i}^{(j)}) = \Psi(U_{i}^{(j)}, U_{-i}^{(j, i)})$
\n• $\hat{Q}_{0.5}^{i}(U_{i}^{(j)}) := \{x \in \mathcal{X}, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \mathbb{1}_{\Gamma_{i}(U_{i}^{(j)})}(x) \ge 0.5\}$

$$
\bullet \ \hat{\lambda}_m(A) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^m \mathbb{1}_A(\mathbf{x}^{(l)}).
$$

 \rightarrow double loop estimation

Figure – Estimation of S_V^i for each input with 1024 model evaluations and a confidence
interval estimated with 100 bootstrap resamples.

¹ [Pointwise Sensitivity Analysis of pollutant concentration maps](#page-5-0)

2 Sensitivity analysis for sets [based on random set theory](#page-12-0)

³ [Sensitivity analysis for sets](#page-27-0) using universal indices

⁴ [Sensitivity Analysis for sets](#page-36-0) with kernel-based indices

⁵ [Comparison between the indices and conclusion](#page-42-0)

With $Z=f(U_1,...,U_p)\in\mathcal{Z}$, the universal sensitivity index with respect to U_i is defined as

$$
S_{2, \text{ Univ}}^{i} (T, \mathbb{Q}) := \frac{\int_{\mathcal{A}} \text{Var} (\mathbb{E} [T_a(Z) | U_i]) d\mathbb{Q}(a)}{\int_{\mathcal{A}} \text{Var} (T_a(Z)) d\mathbb{Q}(a)}, \qquad (2)
$$

where (T_a) are tests functions defined by :

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\n\mathcal{A}\times\mathcal{Z}&\to &\mathbb{R} \\
(a,z)&\mapsto &T_a(z),\n\end{array} \tag{3}
$$

and A is some measurable space endowed with a probability measure Q .

- Generalization of existing indices :
	- Sobol index : $S^i = S^i_{2}$, _{Univ} (id, \mathbb{Q}) with *Id* beeing the indicator function : $T_a(z) = z$.
	- Cramér-von Mises index : $T_a(z) = \mathbb{1}_{x \le a}$, $\mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{P}$ with $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{X}$.
- Defined for any metric output space

Adaptation of the universal indices to sets

$$
S_i^{\text{Univ}}(\gamma, \mathbb{Q}) = \frac{\int_0^1 \text{Var} \mathbb{E} \left[\lambda (\Gamma \Delta \gamma_a) \mid U_i \right] d\mathbb{Q}(a)}{\int_0^1 \text{Var} \left(\lambda (\Gamma \Delta \gamma_a) \right) d\mathbb{Q}(a)} \text{ with } \gamma_a \text{ a square with side length } a
$$

[Rencontres MEXICO](#page-0-1) [Sensitivity analysis for set-valued models](#page-0-1) Noé Fellmann 16 / 29

Definition (adaptation of the universal indices from Fort, Klein et Lagnoux [2021\)](#page-44-2)

$$
S_i^{\text{Univ}}(\gamma, \mathbb{Q}) = \frac{\int_0^1 \text{Var} \mathbb{E}\left[\lambda(\Gamma \Delta \gamma_a) \mid U_i\right] d\mathbb{Q}(a)}{\int_0^1 \text{Var}\left(\lambda(\Gamma \Delta \gamma_a)\right) d\mathbb{Q}(a)}
$$

where $(\gamma_a)_{a\in[0,1]}\subset\mathcal{X}$ is a collection of test sets parameterised by $a\in[0,1]$ and $\mathbb Q$ is a probability distribution on [0, 1] to choose.

- Centered balls : $\gamma_a = B(x^0, a)$ with $\mathbb{Q} \sim \mathcal{U}([0, \frac{1}{2}])$
- Centered squares : $\gamma_a = \{x \in [0, 1]^3, ||x x^0||_{\infty} \le a\}$ with $\mathbb{Q} \sim \mathcal{U}([0, \frac{1}{2}])$
- Slices along the i-th dimension : $\gamma^i_a = \{x \in [0,1]^3, x^i \leq a\}$ with $\mathbb{Q} \sim \mathcal{U}([0,1])$
- Vorob'ev quantiles : $\gamma_a = \{x \in [0,1]^3, \mathbb{P}(x \in \Gamma) \ge a\}$ with $\mathbb{Q} \sim \mathcal{N}(\frac{1}{2}, 0.05^2)$

.

[Pointwise SA on maps](#page-5-0) [SA with random set theory](#page-12-0) [Universal indices for sets](#page-27-0) [HSIC for sets](#page-36-0) [Comparison and conclusion](#page-42-0) Estimation (rank-based estimation)

The estimator S_i^{Univ} of S_i^{Univ} is given by the ratio between

$$
\widehat{S}_{num} = \frac{1}{N_a} \sum_{l=1}^{N_a} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\widehat{\lambda}_m(\Gamma^{(j)} \Delta \gamma_{A_l}) \right) \left(\widehat{\lambda}_m(\Gamma^{(N_i(j))} \Delta \gamma_{a_l}) \right) \right]
$$

$$
- \frac{1}{N_a} \sum_{l=1}^{N_a} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\widehat{\lambda}_m(\Gamma^{(j)} \Delta \gamma_{a_l}) \right) \right]^2
$$

and,

$$
\widehat{S}_{den} = \frac{1}{N_a} \sum_{l=1}^{N_a} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\widehat{\lambda}_m(\Gamma^{(j)} \Delta \gamma_{a_l}) \right)^2 \right] - \frac{1}{N_a} \sum_{l=1}^{N_a} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\widehat{\lambda}_m(\Gamma^{(j)} \Delta \gamma_{a_l}) \right) \right]^2,
$$

where (a_l) is an iid sample of the law $\mathbb Q$ and $\mathcal N_i(j)$ is the index in the sample (U_i^l) that comes after U_j^j when (U_i^j) is sorted in ascending order (see Gamboa, Gremaud et al. [2022](#page-44-3) for details).

Figure – Estimation of the universal indices S_i^{Univ} for each input and for four different test sets and $N_a = 100$, with 1000 model evaluations. Confidence intervals are obtained with 100 bootstrap samples

Figure – Estimation of Sobol indices (rank-method) and HSIC-based indices (rbf_anova kernel) of the volume of Γ_U . 1000 model evaluations are used and confidence interval are estimated with 100 bootstrap resamples.

¹ [Pointwise Sensitivity Analysis of pollutant concentration maps](#page-5-0)

2 Sensitivity analysis for sets [based on random set theory](#page-12-0)

³ [Sensitivity analysis for sets](#page-27-0) using universal indices

⁴ [Sensitivity Analysis for sets](#page-36-0) with kernel-based indices

⁵ [Comparison between the indices and conclusion](#page-42-0)

Dependence measures : $\mathcal{S}_i = ||\mathbb{P}_{(\mathcal{X}_i, \mathcal{Y})} - \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{X}_i} \otimes \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{Y}}||$ $\mu_k(P) = \mathbb{E}_X[k(\cdot, X)]$ $\mu_k(Q) = \mathbb{E}_Y[k(\cdot, Y)]$

Figure – Kernel mean embedding

with k a (positive definite) kernel $k:(x,x')\in\mathcal{X}^2\mapsto k(x,x')\in\mathbb{R}.$

Hilbert Schmidt Independence Criterion (HSIC), Gretton et al. [2006](#page-44-4)

With $K = kx_i \otimes k_y$, the HSIC is given by :

$$
\mathsf{HSIC}_K(X_i, Y) = ||\mu_K(X_i, Y) - \mu_{k_{\mathcal{X}_i}}(X_i) \otimes \mu_{k_{\mathcal{Y}}}(Y)||_{\mathcal{H}_K}^2
$$

When K is characteristic (injectivity of the mean embedding),

 $\mathsf{HSIC}_K(X_i, Y) = 0$ iif $X_i \perp Y \rightarrow \mathsf{screening}.$

[Pointwise SA on maps](#page-5-0) [SA with random set theory](#page-12-0) [Universal indices for sets](#page-27-0) [HSIC for sets](#page-36-0) [Comparison and conclusion](#page-42-0)

HSIC-ANOVA index [daVeiga [2021\]](#page-44-5)

Assuming that the inputs are independent and that the input kernels are ANOVA,

$$
\mathsf{HSIC}(\boldsymbol{X}, Y) = \sum_{A \subseteq \{1, \ldots, d\}} \sum_{B \subseteq A} (-1)^{|A| - |B|} \mathsf{HSIC}(\boldsymbol{X}_B, Y).
$$

HSIC-ANOVA indices are then defined as \cdot

$$
S_i^{HSIC} := \frac{\text{HSIC}(X_i, Y)}{\text{HSIC}(\boldsymbol{X}, Y)},
$$

$$
S_{T_i}^{\text{HSIC}} := 1 - \frac{\text{HSIC}(\boldsymbol{X}_{-i}, Y)}{\text{HSIC}(\boldsymbol{X}, Y)}
$$

and are suited for ranking (and screening).

• Easy to estimate :

$$
\begin{aligned} \text{HSIC}_{k_{\mathcal{X}},k_{\mathcal{Y}}}(X,Y) &= \mathbb{E}[k_{\mathcal{X}}(X,X')k_{\mathcal{Y}}(Y,Y')] \\ &+ \mathbb{E}[k_{\mathcal{X}}(X,X')]\mathbb{E}[k_{\mathcal{Y}}(Y,Y')] \\ &- 2\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[k_{\mathcal{X}}(X,X')|X]\mathbb{E}[k_{\mathcal{Y}}(Y,Y')|Y]]. \end{aligned}
$$

Only requirement : to have kernels on the inputs and on the output

[Pointwise SA on maps](#page-5-0) [SA with random set theory](#page-12-0) [Universal indices for sets](#page-27-0) [HSIC for sets](#page-36-0) [Comparison and conclusion](#page-42-0)

HSIC ANOVA indices for sets, definition of the indices

Given an input kernel K_i and an output kernel k_{set} ,

$$
\textit{HSIC}_{K_i \otimes k_{\textsf{set}}}(U_i,\Gamma) = ||\mu_{K_i \otimes k_{\textsf{set}}}(\mathbb{P}_{(U_i,\Gamma)}) - \mu_{K_i \otimes k_{\textsf{set}}}(\mathbb{P}_{U_i} \otimes \mathbb{P}_{\Gamma})||^2_{\mathcal{H}_{K_i \otimes k_{\textsf{set}}}}
$$

If $K_i \otimes k_{set}$ is characteristic (injectivity of the mean embedding), then,

$$
HSIC_{K_i \otimes k_{\text{set}}}(U_i, \Gamma) = 0 \text{ iff } U_i \perp \Gamma.
$$

Proposition (Fellmann et al. [2023\)](#page-44-6)

The kernel k_{set} defined by :

$$
k_{\text{set}}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda(\gamma_1 \Delta \gamma_2)}{2\sigma^2}\right)
$$

is characteristic.

$$
S_i^{H_{\text{set}}} := \frac{\text{HSIC}^{\text{ANOVA}}(U_i, \Gamma)}{\text{HSIC}^{\text{ANOVA}}(U, \Gamma)} \\
= \frac{\mathbb{E}[(K_i(U_i, U_i') - 1)k_{\text{set}}(\Gamma, \Gamma')] }{\mathbb{E}[(K(U, U') - 1)k_{\text{set}}(\Gamma, \Gamma')]}
$$

The indices can be estimated using :

$$
\widehat{\widehat{H_{\text{set}}}}\left(U_i,\Gamma\right)=\frac{2}{n(n-1)}\sum_{j
$$

Input kernels :

- the Sobolev kernel of order 1, $k_{sob}(x, y) = 1 + (x - \frac{1}{2})(y - \frac{1}{2}) + \frac{1}{2}[(x - y)^2 - |x - y| + \frac{1}{6}]$
- the Gaussian kernel, $k_{rbf}(x,y) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x-y}{\sigma}\right)^2}$ with $\sigma > 0$,
- the Laplace kernel, $k_{\exp}(\mathsf{x},\mathsf{y}) = e^{-\frac{|\mathsf{x}-\mathsf{y}|}{h}}$ with $h>0,$
- the Matérn 3/2, $k_{3/2}(x, y) = \left(1 + \sqrt{3} \frac{|x-y|}{h} \right) e^{-\sqrt{3} \frac{|x-y|}{h}}$ with $h > 0$,

• the Matérn 5/2,
$$
k_{5/2}(x, y) = \left(1 + \sqrt{5\frac{|x-y|}{h}} + \frac{5}{3}\frac{|x-y|}{h^2}\right) e^{-\sqrt{5}\frac{|x-y|}{h}}
$$
 with $h > 0$.

Figure – Estimation of $S_i^{\text{H}_{\text{set}}}$ for five input kernels, 1000 model evaluations. Confidence intervals are obtained by bootstrap with 100 resamples

¹ [Pointwise Sensitivity Analysis of pollutant concentration maps](#page-5-0)

2 Sensitivity analysis for sets [based on random set theory](#page-12-0)

³ [Sensitivity analysis for sets](#page-27-0) using universal indices

⁴ [Sensitivity Analysis for sets](#page-36-0) with kernel-based indices

⁵ [Comparison between the indices and conclusion](#page-42-0)

Comparison

Figure – Comparison of the four indices with a total budget of $n = 1000$ model evaluations. 100 bootstrap sample are used to estimate confidence intervals

ompanison

Figure – Comparison of the four indices with a total budget of $n = 100$ model evaluations. 100 bootstrap sample are used to estimate confidence intervals

- HSIC-based indices seem to be the most efficient except if we are interested in the interactions between the inputs
- Methodology presented for map-valued outputs but can be used for any set-valued outputs

Molchanov, Ilya (2005). Theory of random sets. T. 19. 2. Springer.